


The Soros Network Influence in U.S. Elections:

A vast concern to be thoroughly investigated

Version: December 30, 2020





November 14, 2020

Introduction: Americans have had more than enough demoralization, abuse, and harm. Could it be that rising chaos, crime, and violence are not inevitable but rather funded and engineered? America's weakness becomes the funders' greater power?

There are reasons George Soros had to leave Asia, England, France and Hungary. Now, after decades in America, millions of Americans wonder, why is the Soros "open society" agenda and network allowed to continue in America, injuring us and the world?

This paper raises questions, and information, in hope of a thorough exploration of the scope of Soros-related projects to influence and undermine voter integrity in the U.S., and around the world. Many wonder, what are Soros's connections to the scandalous 2020 Presidential election?

Many voting projects, funded mainly through Soros's now \$20 billion Open Society Foundations (OSF), tip the scales for "open society" policies, Democratic candidates, and against policies, candidates and citizens who are for American sovereignty and the traditional Christian morality of our founders and ancestors who, by and large, built and raised the America we love.

Thousands of grants, awarding billions of dollars, can be found in Open Society Foundation (www.Soros.org) IRS 990s and internal OSF memos made public and still on www.Scribd.com. Researchers could also look at private funding and grants of Soros-related foundations such as Tides, Ford, New America, National Immigration Forum, MacArthur, Gill, Carnegie of NY, Pierre Omidyar's Democracy Fund, Rockefeller Foundation and more. (See graph in Appendix). But to study Mr. Soros's influence, it is perhaps best to begin with Open Society Foundations.


Table of Contents

Below, please find a short list of Soros influence projects to be considered in a proper inquiry –

Methodology: In their own words, thousands of pages of grants from Mr. Soros’s Open Society Foundation’s IRS 990s and internal memos from 2008-2016, and OSF web articles, are available to the public. The latest OSF 990s have not been made available. This paper combines representative samples of those documents, along with corresponding news stories related primarily to election and cultural engineering. Volunteers raise questions based on what we believe to be accurate information and will correct any inaccurate information as it is discovered.

1) The 2020 Presidential Election: Biden vs. Trump	p. 4
2) Soros’s Opposition to Republican U.S. Presidents Including: Work to prevent election of Donald J. Trump Disrupting the Trump inauguration. #DisruptJ20 Funding the #Resist Movement for four (4) years against President Trump Funding voter fraud and election theft projects and organizations	p. 7
3) Soros’s ties to voting technology including Smartmatic & Dominion	p. 12
4) Open Society Fnd., Decades of Projects to Weaken Voter Integrity	p. 29
5) 3-D Chess: Soros funding of Secretaries of State, District Attorneys, Governors, Congress members and government officials, census and redistricting	p. 36
6) Soros’s Influence in Anti-Trump Media & Citizen Censorship that shapes news, narratives, “fact-checkers,” Big Tech, policies and elections	p. 48
7) Soros’s Larger Cultural Influence in America to undermine The Christian faith, the family, life, liberty, security and the economy	p. 57
8) Global Influence: The Soros Network’s Role in Elections and Over Nations. Global influence and social engineering weakening sovereign nations	p. 62
9) Summary & Recommendations	p. 69

1) The 2020 Presidential Election: Biden vs. Trump



The sum total of eye-witness testimonies of fraud, sworn affidavits, “mail-in” ballot issues, illegally blocked Republican observers, digital manipulation questions and more is too enormous to re-cap here, but is being aggregated by the Trump legal team and in citizen-led efforts such as www.EveryLegalVote.com and <https://hereistheevidence.com> and evolving summary graphics.

Foreign interference claims are also found on formerlawyer Sidney Powell’s website: <https://www.sidneypowell.com/evidence-of-foreign-interference>

Peter Navarro’s reports:

https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2020/12/17/peter_navarro_presents_the_immaculate_deception_a_coordinated_effort_to_stack_election_against_trump.html#!

Spike in Georgia, early in the morning, November 4, 2020 As poll workers pulled ballots from under tables?



A littany of “irregularities” in battleground cities



Claim: DocuShred, a document shredding truck outside the Chandler voting location in Maricopa County, Arizona, November 2, 2020

Americans are outraged. Election integrity is the civil rights issue of our time. To steal an election is to steal the history, heritage and future of a nation. Tireless and courageous volunteers are demanding investigations, truth and justice. For example, the “Every Legal Vote.com” coalition wrote AG Bill Barr, the FBI and more, **Do Your Job!**

EVERY
LEGAL **Vote**



An Open Letter from America: DO YOUR JOB! 10 Dec 20

To: **William Barr, Attorney General of the United States;**
CC: **Those with a DUTY to Protect U.S. Elections;**
Those with a DUTY to Investigate Crime & Injustice

Subject: DO YOUR JOB Restore Confidence America’s Election System

Prior to the November 3, 2020 elections, many of you in various forums promised the American people that you would “safeguard” our votes in this historic election. You stated in [press releases](#) and [video recordings](#) that it is your duty, and that of your agencies, to ensure election security and transparency. And we agree, it is! However, the American people have seen little to no action by your agencies since the election to investigate the widespread reports of fraud, irregularities, crime and foreign influence.

Thankfully, some elected officials are fulfilling their oath to uphold the Constitution, and thus to citizens. And many truth-seeking patriots are standing for justice.



Josh Hawley @HawleyMO · 1h
Millions of voters concerned about election integrity deserve to be heard. I will object on January 6 on their behalf

Hawley Statement On Objecting During Electoral College Certification Process on Jan. 6

“Following both the 2004 and 2016 elections, Democrats in Congress objected during the certification of electoral votes in order to raise concerns about election integrity. They were praised by Democratic leadership and the media when they did. And they were entitled to do so. But now those of us concerned about the integrity of this election are entitled to do the same.

“I cannot vote to certify the electoral college results on January 6 without raising the fact that some states, particularly Pennsylvania, failed to follow their own state election laws. And I cannot vote to certify without pointing out the unprecedented effort of mega corporations, including Facebook and Twitter, to interfere in this election, in support of Joe Biden. At the very least, Congress should investigate allegations of voter fraud and adopt measures to secure the integrity of our elections. But Congress has so far failed to act.

“For these reasons, I will follow the same practice Democrat members of Congress have in years past and object during the certification process on January 6 to raise these critical issues.”

16K 14.7K 37.4K

And concerns are rising, with Soros ties to “Color Revolutions” in the U.S. and around the world...



theepochtimes.com
Former Special Forces Officer Warns of Color Revolution Tactics Used Against Trump



President Donald Trump looks on during a ceremony presenting the Presidential Medal of Freedom to wrestler Dan Gable in the Oval Office of the White House in Washington on Dec. 7, 2020. (Saul Loeb/AFP via Getty Images)

2020 ELECTION PREMIUM
Former Special Forces Officer Warns of Color Revolution Tactics Used Against Trump

Link: https://www.theepochtimes.com/former-special-forces-officer-warns-of-color-revolution-tactics-used-against-trump_3612952.html

2) Soros's Public Opposition to Republican U.S. Candidates & Presidents

Researchers could explore and consider financial trails including -
Work to prevent elections of Donald J. Trump
Disrupting the Trump inauguration. #DisruptJ20
Funding the #Resist Movement for four (4) years against President Trump.
Funding voter fraud and election theft projects and organizations in 2020.

A. Disrupting the Trump inauguration.

#DisruptJ20 is an organization or “pop up” that protested and attempted to disrupt events of the inauguration of the 45th U.S. President, Donald Trump, which occurred on January 20, 2017. The group was founded in July 2016 and launched on November 11 after Trump won the 2016 U.S. presidential election. DisruptJ20's inauguration protests were a part of a wider array of protests organized both locally and nationally from a more extensive initial plan to Resist.

The image is a screenshot of a news article from the website LifeSiteNews.com. The browser address bar shows 'lifesitenews.com'. The page has a navigation menu with 'LIFE SITE' in large letters, followed by 'News', 'Opinion', 'Blogs', 'Podcasts', 'Resources', and 'Petitions'. The main content area features a photograph of a protest with a banner that reads 'Racist Violence Hate Groups'. Below the photo is a quote: "We don't ask permission or put our faith in electoral politics, instead, we use our bodies to stop the smooth operation of the system we oppose," Disrupt J20 organizers say. The article is by Kevin Mooney, dated Friday, January 13, 2017, at 1:04 pm EST. The headline is "Trump protesters vow to 'shut down' Inauguration: 'We're planning to paralyze the city'". A blue tag below the headline lists topics: Climate Change, Donald Trump, George Soros, Inauguration Day, Protesting Trump Victory, Racism. The article text begins: "January 13, 2017 (DailySignal) -- A coalition of leftist causes calling itself Disrupt J20 plans a series of organizing sessions, classes, protests, and other activities aimed at disrupting Donald Trump's inauguration as president Jan. 20." A quote from the Disrupt J20 website is included: "We're planning to paralyze the city itself, using blockades and marches to stop traffic and even public transit." The article concludes: "In appeals to 'all people of good conscience,' Disrupt J20 seeks to bring thousands of fellow activists to Washington to join forces at American University beginning Saturday, six days before Inauguration Day."

President-Elect Trump is a meme that's gone too far. We're here to organize, fight back, and mobilize the public with a very clear message: "No business as usual." The Disrupt J20 crew is organizing direct actions, mass housing, medical support, convergence spaces, legal support, and an action camp / training the weekend before the Inauguration. Full details are below.



DIRECT ACTIONS, MEETINGS, & PROTESTS

Jan. 14-16 (Sat-Mon)

- Time TBA: [J20 Action Camp](#) (American University)

B. Funding the #Resist Movement for four (4) years against President Trump.

The image shows a screenshot of a Scribd document. The browser address bar shows the URL: https://www.scribd.com/document/downloads/direct/337636680?extension=pdf&it=1637314734&it=153. The document title is "Resistance CCC donors OSF Ford Kellogg JPB?". The document content includes the following text:


CENTER FOR COMMUNITY CHANGE
Schedule A Identification
Included on Part II, Line 5

Privacy Redaction
 Privacy Redaction


** Do Not File **
 *** Not Open to Public Inspection ***

Contributor's Name	Total Contributions	Excess Contributions
CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT	2,119,501.	366,007.
FORD FOUNDATION	10,150,000.	8,396,506.
JPB FOUNDATION	21,973,174.	20,219,680.
MARGUERITE CASEY FOUNDATION	3,922,046.	2,168,552.
NATHAN CUMMINGS FOUNDATION	1,890,000.	136,506.
OPEN SOCIETY INSTITUTE	5,443,825.	3,690,331.
TACONIC FOUNDATION	3,050,000.	1,296,506.
W.K. KELLOGG FOUNDATION	3,250,000.	1,496,506.
WELLSPRING ADVISORS	2,500,000.	746,506.

Above: Funders of one of the #Resistance rallies, Center for Community Change, include Ford & OSF (source: www.Scribd.com)



Below: Soros calls President Trump, “A danger” and “temporary phenomenon” (2018)



Link: <https://thehill.com/policy/technology/370757-soros-calls-for-strict-regulations-on-facebook-google>

Full remarks of Mr. Soros at Davos: <https://www.georgesoros.com/2018/01/25/remarks-delivered-at-the-world-economic-forum/>

Soros announced that Trump will “disappear” in 2020, or even sooner

Soros: Trump Will "Disappear" In 2020, Or Even Sooner



Posted By Ian Schwartz
On Date January 27, 2018



Billionaire investor and political activist George Soros comments on the Trump administration in a speech at the World Economic Forum's annual meeting in Davos. Soros declared the Trump phenomenon will "disappear" in 2020, calling his presidency a "danger to the world."

Soros said his "goal" is to "reestablish a functioning two-party system" in the United States.

https://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2018/01/27/soros_trump_will_disappear_in_2020_or_ever_sooner.html?spot_im_comment_id=sp_v0xu5oCZ_700520580_c_KW21yL&spot_im_highlight_immediate=true&utm_source=SpotIM&utm_medium=Share&utm_content=sp_v0xu5oCZ_700520580_c_KW21yL



Alex Soros, son of George, with Democratic politicians.
This kind of meme expresses the concerns of many Americans (author unknown)

3) Soros ties to technology, including Smartmatic & Dominion:

The vast and well-financed network of Mr. George Soros includes associations with both Smartmatic and Dominion Voting Systems.

A. Smartmatic & Mark Malloch Brown also on Global Board of Open Society Foundations

George Soros's longtime relationship with British "Lord," Mark Malloch Brown, former Chairman of Smartmatic, with ties to Dominion, while Brown was on the global board of Soros's Open Society Foundations (OSF) and a leader of OSF's International Crisis Group (ICG) that appears to target "open society" political opponents around the world.

In December of 2020, George and Alex Soros named Mark Malloch Brown the incoming President of the \$20 billion Open Society Foundations (OSF). That is quite a promotion for someone in the center of 2020 election theft controversy.



Mark Malloch Brown, former Chairman of Smartmatic while on the Global Board of George Soros's Open Society Foundations (OSF)



Mark Malloch Brown and George Soros at an International Crisis Group meeting.
(ICG is an OSF grantee project to target political opposition around the world)

Mark Malloch Brown was recently named incoming President of Open Society Foundations, with which he is long affiliated and with decades of partnership with George Soros.

Lord Mark Malloch-Brown

Chairman

Mark Malloch-Brown is a former number two in the United Nations as well as having served in the British Cabinet and Foreign Office. He now sits in the House of Lords and is active both in business and in the non-profit world. He also remains deeply involved in international affairs.



Mark served as Deputy Secretary-General and Chief of Staff of the UN under Kofi Annan. For six years before that he was Administrator of the UNDP, leading the UN's development efforts around the world. He was later Minister of State in the Foreign Office, covering Africa and Asia, and was a member of Gordon Brown's cabinet.

Other positions have included vice-chairman of George Soros's Investment Funds, as well as his Open Society Institute, a Vice-President at the World Bank and the lead international partner at Sawyer Miller, a political consulting firm. He also has served as Vice-Chairman of the World Economic Forum. He began his career as a journalist at The Economist.

In addition to his role at SGO, Mark sits on several other commercial boards. He chairs the Boards of a number of non-profit boards including the International Crisis Group, the Open Society Foundation, and the Centre for Global Development.

Mark is also a Distinguished Practitioner of the Blavatnik School of Government at Oxford University and was formerly a visiting distinguished fellow at the Yale Centre for the Study of Globalisation. He has a number of honorary degrees.

He was knighted in 2007 for his contribution to international affairs. Mark is the author of "The Unfinished Global Revolution" and continues to write, broadcast and lecture about international issues.

About

- [About us](#)
- [Vision and mission](#)
- [Our history](#)
- [Leadership](#)
- [Board of Directors](#)
- [Careers](#)

Case Studies

- [Los Angeles County—Voting Solutions for All People](#)
- [Italy's first fully automated election - Lombardy 2017](#)
- [Brazilian elections 2012, 2014 & 2016](#)
- [LA County - California - 2016 Presidential Primary Elections](#)

In addition to his role at SGO, Mark sits on several other commercial boards. He chairs the Boards of a number of non-profit boards including the International Crisis Group, the Open Society Foundation, and the Centre for Global Development.

Mark is also a Distinguished Practitioner of the Blavatnik School of Government at Oxford University and was formerly a visiting distinguished fellow at the Yale Centre for the Study of Globalisation. He has a number of honorary degrees.

He was knighted in 2007 for his contribution to international affairs. Mark is the author of "The Unfinished Global Revolution" and continues to write, broadcast and lecture about international issues.

In the words of Open Society Foundations (OSF), Soros’s largest funding vehicle

The screenshot shows the Open Society Foundations website. The main heading is "STAFF". Below it, a paragraph states: "The staff of the Open Society Foundations are active in more than 120 countries in every region of the world. We work with local communities in support of justice and human rights, freedom of expression, and access to public health and education." There are filter options for Department, Program, and Location. A table lists staff members with their names, roles, and locations. A detailed profile for Mark Malloch-Brown is shown on the right, including a photo and a biographical paragraph.

Name	Role	Location
Gemma Aafjes-Hilverdink	Project Head	Execu
Alise Abadie	Program Officer	Public
Mohieddine Abdellaoui	Regional Manager	Middl Progra
Hayk Abrahamyan	Program Coordinator	Public
Scott Abrams	Director, Special Initiatives	Econo
Andrea Ackerman	Program Administrative Specialist	Intern Initiati
Kevin Adamar	Operations Manager	Open :
Maeda Adamowicz	Program Officer	Humana

Mark Malloch-Brown
Global Board Member

Mark Malloch-Brown is a former number two in the United Nations and has served in the British Cabinet and Foreign Office. He is active in business and international affairs, and serves on several nonprofit boards, including the International Crisis Group.

He is a senior advisor at Eurasia Group and serves on a number of global market commercial boards. He chairs Best for Britain, a group promoting debate on Britain's relations with the European Union.

Malloch-Brown served as UN deputy secretary-general and chief of staff under Kofi Annan. Before this, he was administrator of the UNDP, where he led global development efforts. He covered Africa and Asia as minister of state in the Foreign Office, and was a member of Gordon Brown's cabinet. Other positions have included World Bank vice president, lead international partner in a political consulting firm, and vice chairman of the World Economic Forum. He began his career as a journalist at the Economist.

Knighted for his contribution to international affairs, Malloch-Brown is a Distinguished Practitioner at Oxford University's Blavatnik School of Government, an adjunct fellow at Chatham House's Queen Elizabeth Program, and has been a visiting distinguished fellow at the Yale Center for the Study of Globalization.


The new Chairman of Smartmatic is Peter V. Neffenger.

specializes in building and implementing electronic transportation), identity management systems for civil

Smartmatic


	
Type	Privately held
Industry	Technology, Electronic voting
Founded	2000
Headquarters	London, United Kingdom ^[1] , multinational
Key people	Antonio Mugica, CEO Peter V. Neffenger, Chairman

Peter V. Neffenger is a United States Coast Guard Admiral and public servant who served as Administrator of the Transportation Security Administration from July 2015 to January 20, 2017.




Dominion Voting Systems & George Soros

The 2020 election controversy includes media attempts to distance the history and dealings of Smartmatic and Dominion, but in December of 2020, *National Pulse* reported that Dominion & Smartmatic are not distinct and "fierce competitors" but have a non-compete agreement indicating previous connectivity.



In this 2015 video, Mark Malloch Brown, OSF board member and Smartmatic Chairman says that part of Smartmatic “technology is licensed From Dominion”:



Source: National Pulse

https://thenationalpulse.com/news/soros-linked-smartmatic-chair-on-dominion/?fbclid=IwAR0_gN-CzhylNjtgND4gTViGtbsH3vCIVSylyuqCHNVwIcbbzW9MyQgkDFQ

A Soros Nexus?: Mr. Soros seems connected not only to Smartmatic via Mr. Malloch Brown, but also to Dominion’s circle of ownership via his long affiliation in The Carlyle Group, including a \$100 Million investment in 1993. Carlyle, with assistance of Staple Street Capital, appear to have participated in the purchase of Dominion Voting Systems in 2018. (see below)



Dominion Voting Systems Acquired by its Management Team and Staple Street Capital



NEWS PROVIDED BY
Dominion Voting Systems →
Jul 16, 2018, 19:02 ET



NEW YORK, July 16, 2018 /PRNewswire/ -- Dominion Voting Systems ("Dominion Voting") announces that it has been acquired by its management team and Staple Street Capital, a leading New York-based, middle-market private equity firm.

Dominion Voting is a top provider of election tabulation solutions to government customers. The company's scalable and customizable platform holds industry-leading certifications and provides accessibility and efficiency at the state and local levels.

Dominion Voting CEO and President John Poulos said, "Our senior management team is extremely pleased to partner with Staple Street Capital, which has a proven track record of successfully investing in growing mid-size businesses. Given the opportunities on our horizon, this is the ideal time for us to add financial resources and an experienced strategic partner to help us meet market demand, better serve customers and invest in evolving security initiatives."

Staple Street Capital co-founder and Managing Director Hootan Yaghoobzadeh said, "John Poulos and his team have done an excellent job of building Dominion Voting into one of the most trusted providers of voting solutions in North America. We are excited to partner with him and the Dominion Voting team as they embark on their next phase of growth and continue their innovative and customer-centric strategy."

More Soros connectivity includes the Tides Foundation. Tides, a large grantee partner of Open Society Foundation, shared their Toronto office with Dominion Voting Systems. Dominion quickly moved in 2020, as they also appear to have moved after the 2020 election, in Denver. News reports include:

Dominion Voting shares office with far-left George Soros linked group

By Kean Bexte | November 17, 2020



Source: Rebel News

https://www.rebelnews.com/dominion_voting_shares_office_with_far_left_george_soros_linked_group

And: <https://nationalfile.com/dominion-voting-systems-shares-floor-space-with-soros-group-partnered-with-soros-friend/>

Mr. William Kennard, Managing Director of The Carlyle Group, might be able to provide insight into the nature and history of Dominion Voting Systems as his Carlyle Group appears to have been involved in the purchase of Dominion via Staple Street Capital.



William E. Kennard

BOARDS

AT&T
Duke Energy
Ford Motor Company
MetLife Inc.

EXPERIENCE

U.S. Ambassador to the European Union
Managing Director, The Carlyle Group
Chairman, Federal Communications Commission


EDUCATION

Yale Law School
Stanford University

Mr. William E. Kennard, Managing Director, The Carlyle Group involved in the purchase of Dominion Voting Systems via Staple Street Capital.
And incoming Chairman of the Board, AT&T



Mr. John Poulos, President and CEO of Dominion Voting Systems



Link: <https://thenationalpulse.com/news/dominion-uses-chinese-parts/>

Research questions include but are not limited to:

What person(s) owns Dominion Voting Systems, past and present?
Often the answer is “Staple Street Capital” (or Carlyle Group) but is this a shell, protecting whom? Was Dominion sold to a China-related bank entity or partnership?

Are there verified Soros connections to Dominion? Begin with The Carlyle Group, Staple Street Capital, Smartmatic and Malloch Brown, William Kennard, John Poulos, Sequoia, ES&S and more.

What can be seen in the patents of Dominion employees and founders such as John Poulos, CEO of Dominion? See his (and team's) patents and authorizations from Colorado and other Secretaries of State for election management and oversight.

Were Dominion employees such as Mr. Poulos, and Mr. Eric Coomer, Dominion VP and Chief Strategy & Security Director, politically motivated? Did Mr. Coomer show public disdain for President Trump in social media? OAN reports that Eric Coomer traveled to all battleground states in 2019 and 2020:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=746HTjhFifA>

Note this question from a citizen: "Dominion uses Smartmatic IP in their systems through Sequoia software that they basically white-labeled? Smartmatic never gave up the IP control. And was the IP sold or used as collateral in a transaction with Chinese interests?"

Patents -

SYSTEM, METHOD AND COMPUTER PROGRAM FOR VOTE TABULATION WITH AN ELECTRONIC AUDIT TRAIL

Publication number: 20120232963

Abstract: A system, method and computer program for tabulating votes and creating an audit trail is provided. A ballot processing device is integrated with a ballot box. The ballot processing device includes a paper feed mechanism, a computer, a ballot processing application loaded on the computer, and a digital scanning device linked to the computer. The ballot processing application provides instructions to the computer to initiate the digital scanning device to scan a paper ballot fed into the paper feed mechanism so as to create a digital image of the paper ballot. The ballot processing application also processes the digital image to establish a series of processing results defining one or more voting results for the paper ballot, and also an audit trail. The ballot processing application processes the digital image to define the voting results based on criteria established by election officials.

Type: Application

Filed: May 3, 2012

Publication date: September 13, 2012

Applicant: Dominion Voting Systems

Inventors: John Poulos, James Hoover, Nick Ikonomakis, Goran Obradovic

Sample Patent (one of many) of Dominion's CEO John Poulos, Hoover, Ikonomakis, Obradovic patents at www.Justia.com

Systems for configuring voting machines, docking device for voting machines, warehouse support and asset tracking of voting machines**Patent number:** 8876002

Abstract: A system and device facilitate the storage and tracking of warehoused voting machines. A system includes a host computer, a plurality of voting machines that are connected via a network to the host computer, each voting machine having one or both of a wireless communication device and a data port that is coupled to the host computer. The system also includes an election and voting machine preparation portion included in the host computer to manage and/or control the connected voting machines. The election and voting machine preparation portion is configured to manage the status of the connected voting machines, is configured to instruct the voting machines to run self tests, is configured to receive results of the self tests back from the connected voting machines, and is configured to prepare/program the connected voting machines with an election ballot.

Type: Grant**Filed:** April 22, 2011**Date of Patent:** November 4, 2014**Assignee:** Dominion Voting Systems, Inc.**Inventors:** Federico Arnao, Eric Coomer, Larry Korb, Josh Maletz**Ballot image processing system and method for voting machines****Patent number:** 8864026

Abstract: A ballot processing system and method processes paper ballots, such as by optically scanning or optically reading those ballots. The ballot image processing system corrects for, or is able to differentiate valid voting marks from, ballot printing errors such as skewed printing, incorrect sizing, and speckling. Further, the ballot image processing system, after determining whether each of the voting marks is valid or not, associates audit data with the ballot that corresponds to the decision regarding each voting mark.

Type: Grant**Filed:** April 22, 2011**Date of Patent:** October 21, 2014**Assignee:** Dominion Voting Systems, Inc.**Inventors:** Eric Coomer, Larry Korb, Brian Glenn Lierman, Doug Harold Weinel

patents.justia.com

Nick Ikonomakis Inventions, Patents and Patent Applications - Justia Patents Search

JUSTIA Patents

Search

Type: Grant
Filed: June 15, 2012
Date of Patent: January 16, 2018
Assignee: Dominion Voting Systems, Inc.
Inventors: John Poulos, James Hoover, Nick Ikonomakis, Goran Obradovic

Marginal marks with pixel count
Patent number: 9710988
Abstract: A system, method and computer program for tabulating votes and creating an audit trail is provided. A ballot processing device may include a paper feed mechanism, a computer, a ballot processing application loaded on the computer, and a digital scanning device linked to the computer. The ballot processing application may process the digital image to establish a series of processing results defining one or more voting results for the paper ballot, and also an audit trail. The ballot processing application may process the digital image to define the voting results based on criteria established by election officials, including ambiguous mark criteria. The audit trail enables election officials to verify that particular paper ballots have been processed correctly in accordance with these criteria.
Type: Grant
Filed: June 15, 2012
Date of Patent: July 18, 2017
Assignee: Dominion Voting Systems Inc.
Inventors: John Poulos, James Hoover, Nick Ikonomakis, Goran Obradovic

Appending Audit Mark Image
Publication number: 20120259681
Abstract: A system, method and computer program for tabulating votes and creating an audit trail is provided. A ballot processing device may include a paper feed mechanism, a computer, a ballot processing application loaded on the computer, and a digital scanning device linked to the computer. The ballot processing application may process the digital image to establish a series of processing results defining one or more voting results for the paper ballot, and also an audit trail. The ballot processing application may process the digital image to define the voting results based on criteria established by election officials, including ambiguous mark criteria. The audit trail enables election officials to verify that particular paper ballots have been processed correctly in accordance with these criteria.
Type: Application
Filed: June 15, 2012
Publication date: October 11, 2012
Applicant: Dominion Voting Systems
Inventors: John Poulos, James Hoover, Nick Ikonomakis, Goran Obradovic

Marginal Marks with Pixel Count
Publication number: 20120259681

Eric Coomer
July 21, 2016 · 🧑

rant/
Facebook friend land- open call...

If you are planning to vote for that autocratic, narcissistic, fascist ass-hat blowhard and his christian jihadist VP pic, UNFRIEND ME NOW! No, I'm not joking. I'm all for reasoned political discourse and healthy debate- I'm looking at you [Geoffrey Cushing-Murray](#), [Gus Munem](#), [Benjamin Rice](#)- I disagree with you three on many philosophical grounds but respect your opinions. Only an absolute FUCKING IDIOT could vote for that wind bag fuck yard FASCIST BASTARD FLYING N...

OANN **'DOMINION-IZING' THE VOTE** ONE AMERICA NEWS INVESTIGATES
www.oann.com

acquaintance, pull the lever, mark an oval, touch a screen for that carnival barker... UNFRIEND ME NOW. I have no desire whatsoever to

U.S. elections
The AP has called the Presidential race for Joe Biden. See more on Google. [SHOW ME](#)

Robust safeguards help ensure the integrity of elections and results. [Learn more](#)

WATCH: Chanel Rion on "Dominion-izing the Vote"
589,935 views · Nov 21, 2020

👍 68K 💬 1.2K ➦ SHARE 📌 SAVE ...

Source: One America News Network (OANN), an apparent Tweet from Mr. Coomer

Dominion news stories include, but are certainly not limited to—

Previous warning from Democrats re: Dominion Voting Systems:

<https://247sports.com/college/ole-miss/board/103602/Contents/-sorosobama-and-dominion-voting-systems-154816942/>

On Tides Foundation including various Soros connections. <https://www.influencewatch.org/non-profit/tides-foundation/>

Dominion's connections to VP Biden, Pres. Obama, AG Holder and Serbia:

<https://nationalfile.com/whistleblowers-biden-implicated-in-dominion-voting-scam-connected-to-serbia/>

“The Machines are made by Flex in China for the most part and the programming is done in Serbia and Canada and the International Corporation is Barbados.”

Smartmatic executives faced criminal indictment in the Philippines.



Link: <https://manilastandard.net/mobile/article/238782>

Check: Dominion contracted w Smartmatic for the 2010 Philippine election. Smartmatic also means Mark Malloch-Brown, UK. Malloch-Brown vice chair of Open Society, Soros Fund Management, Global Board member of Open Society.



Concern Grows Over Soros-Linked Voting Machines

Sixteen states will use balloting equipment provided by a company tied to the leftist billionaire

by **Edmund Korzak** | Updated 24 Oct 2016 at 9:42 AM

- Concern is growing over revelations that voting machines in a significant number of states will be controlled by a company tied directly to billionaire leftist George Soros and his personal quest to create a nationless, borderless global state.
-
-

UPDATED: 11.19.2020

"Most electronic voting isn't secure."

- CIA Cyber Expert, 2006

Some states allegedly using Dominion voting systems:

State	RATIO AT POLL CLOSING	BALLOT DROPS	BALLOT RECOUNT
GA	57%	42%	0
MI	55%	43%	0
VA	52%	46%	0
PA	56%	43%	0
WI	51%	49%	0
NV	57%	42%	77K
OH	49.05%	50.05%	665,890
IL	57%	42%	77K
IN	57%	42%	77K
MO	57%	42%	77K
ND	57%	42%	77K
SD	57%	42%	77K
WY	57%	42%	77K
AK	57%	42%	77K
VT	57%	42%	77K
NH	57%	42%	77K
RI	57%	42%	77K
MA	57%	42%	77K
CT	57%	42%	77K
DE	57%	42%	77K
MD	57%	42%	77K
DC	57%	42%	77K

2019 Ballot in more than one state or by ill-defined persons & paths for votes systems: ~200,000 digital sig and native setting @40% or 600,000 additional ballots not looked at

The general thinking is that if enough fraudulent voting is PROVEN in contested states due to software, hardware, nefarious intent, etc., then the validity of the state's results is NULL and the electoral votes will be decided by the courts / Congress.

William Earl Leonard

at&t, CNN, WarnerMedia

Balderton capital, NAUTOCAPITAL, VULCAN Capital

Path of a Vote: Voter Precheck -> Local Software -> API Services -> Cloud Database -> API Services -> Local Software


Sources: Wikipedia, New York Times, US Embassy Wikileaks 2010, Guardian, Washington Times, CNN, Associated Press, Gateway Pundit, Newsmax, USA Today, Clinton Foundation, Wallstreet Journal, Forbes, Don Bongino

4) Open Society Foundation's Decades of Projects to Weaken Voter Integrity

To be explored, including:

- a. Violating rights of citizens by weakening voter ID laws
- b. Attempts to lower the age of voting
- c. Ballot projects funded by OSF; ballots to match machines in various states (see grant)
- d. Attempts to force open borders, caravans and give ID to non-citizens for illegal voting
- e. Attempts to give prisoners and felons rights to vote
- f. More.

Weakening Voter ID Laws, harming American Citizens



Below: A 2009 grant from Open Society Foundation (OSF) to fund the Brennan Center for "better ballot design regulations and templates for each brand and model of voting machines used in the state ..." Note: what began with 5 states mentioned in 2009, has likely expanded to most or all states. (This is before George Soros put \$18 Billion more into OSF, in 2018).

(Note that leaked OSF documents were made public for several months in 2016, by an unknown source, and the authenticity of these documents does not appear to have been contested by OSF. Researchers should inquire about lawful use).

Below: Open Society internal memo, 2009, followed by OSF grant for 2011-2013 funding of the Brennan Center including its “Better Ballots” project.



Name of Organization: Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law

Tax Status: 501(c)(3) public charity

Purpose of Grant: to support the Ballot Design Project

Previous OSI Support: \$11,465,347
 \$807,715 from Strategic Opportunities Fund (2009, 2006, 2005, 2003)
 \$68,414 from JEHT Emergency Fund (2009)
 \$2,881,218 from U.S. Justice Fund (2008-2004, 2002-2000, 1997)
 \$2,625,000 from Progressive Infrastructure (2007, 2006)
 \$60,000 from OSI-Baltimore (2006)
 \$1,223,000 from Independence of Judiciary (2004-2002, 1997)
 \$1,000,000 from Governance and Policy (2002)
 \$2,270,000 from Law and Society (2001-1997)

Organization Budget: \$7,357,278

Project Budget: \$300,888

Major Sources of Support:

Carnegie Corporation	\$600,000
Atlantic Philanthropies	\$450,000
Rockefeller Foundation	\$250,000

Amount Requested: \$155,000 over one year

Amount Recommended: \$155,000 over one year

Term: July 1, 2009 – June 30, 2010

Description of Organization

The Brennan Center for Justice at NYU School of Law is a hybrid public interest law firm/policy institute dedicated to developing and implementing an innovative, nonpartisan agenda through scholarship, public education and legal action that ensures fairness to the poor and promotes equality and democracy.

Brennan’s Democracy Program seeks to eliminate barriers to full and equal participation

American voters are accurately recorded by analyzing ballot design problems across the country and working with allies to implement solutions to these problems. In the first phase of the project, supported by the JEHT Foundation, Brennan formed a Task Force

Find
voting ma
Previd
Replac

on Ballot Design, composed of several of the nation's usability experts, political scientists, and election officials, and together with staff, they conducted extensive empirical research on ballot design across the country. The results of this research were released in July of 2008 in a report titled *Better Ballots*. The report contained an extensive analysis of election ballots that showed how poor design and confusing voting instructions disenfranchised hundreds of thousands of voters in recent elections. Thirteen specific ballot design problems were identified and recommendations were outlined to enable county, state and federal government officials to improve ballot design, with an emphasis on local measures. On the basis of the ballot design report, the Brennan Center worked intensively with state and county election officials in seven states to improve ballot design in anticipation of the November 2008 election.

For the next phase of the project, five target states have been identified for concerted reform efforts, including California, Florida, Missouri, New York and Ohio. These states have been targeted based on the following criteria:

- significant ballot design problems were evident in recent elections and/or state legislation and regulations impede good ballot design;
- election officials and legislators have expressed interest in working with the Brennan Center to improve their ballot designs, election codes and regulations;
- all states other than Ohio have one type of system (i.e., DRE or optical scans) throughout the state, which should make it easier to pursue statewide changes;
- local partners are ready and able to assist with the work.

In each of these target states, the Brennan Center will work with local partners such as Common Cause and the League of Women Voters to conduct state and municipal level advocacy and training around the proposals contained in *Better Ballots*. Task Force experts will be available to assist with election official trainings, usability testing and reviews of ballots. The project will help state election officials to advance better ballot design regulations and templates for each brand and model of voting machine used in the state and implement procedures to review county ballot designs for usability.

Rationale for Recommendation

The JEHT Foundation had been providing significant support for the Brennan Center's Democracy Program (\$450,000 per year), and at the time of the foundation's closure, JEHT staff were planning to recommend a grant of \$155,000 for Brennan's Ballot Design Project. The Transparency and Integrity Fund (TIF or the Fund) is recommending an emergency grant of \$155,000 to help mitigate the losses of a valued partner due to the closure of JEHT, ensure that if the organization has to realign its priorities the Ballot Design project does not go unfunded, and advance the Fund's priority of supporting broad and equal access to the ballot.

The first docket of JEHT Emergency Funding (JEF) prioritized groups for whom JEHT's

Grant ID: 20032195

Name of Organization: William J. Brennan Jr. Center for Justice

Tax Status: 501(c)(3) public charity

Purpose of Grant: To provide general support

Grant Description: The Brennan Center is an anchor grantee of U.S. Programs. This recommended grant would provide renewed general support. Brennan’s research, litigation, advocacy, and communications work is central to goals of criminal justice reform, money in politics, broad and equal access to the ballot, voting rights, redistricting, judicial independence, transparency, and national security. Brennan collaborates with many U.S. Programs grantees in these fields, providing both leadership and support to efforts at the federal and state level.

Previous OSF Support: \$13,620,347
\$2,000,000 from Transparency and Integrity Fund (2009-2010)
\$807,715 from Strategic Opportunities Fund (2003-2009)
\$223,414 from JEHT Emergency Fund (2009)
\$2,881,218 from U.S. Justice Fund (2008-2004, 2002-2000, 1997)
\$2,625,000 from Progressive Infrastructure (2007, 2006)
\$60,000 from OSI-Baltimore (2006)
\$1,223,000 from Independence of Judiciary (2004-2002, 1997)
\$1,000,000 from Governance and Policy (2002)
\$2,270,000 from Law and Society (2001-1997)

Organization Budget: \$7,605,134

Major Sources of Support:

Atlantic Philanthropies	\$134,000
Joyce Foundation	\$400,000
Ford Foundation	\$200,000

Amount Requested: \$2,000,000 over two years

Amount Recommended: \$2,000,000 from U.S. Programs Anchor Grant Fund (T1: 21104)

Contingent Grant: No

Term: Two years (July 1, 2011 – June 30, 2013)

More on ballot design, the TIF program funded by OSF and the Brennan Center.



TIF supports an electoral system that is broadly accessible and accurately records each and every vote, so that in part participation is not discouraged. Poor ballot design can result in large numbers of what experts call residual or lost votes, the difference between the total number of people voting in an election and number of votes counted for a particular office. Studies by the Brennan Center and others have shown that confusing ballot design disproportionately impacts low-income voters, people of color, language minorities, new voters and the elderly, creating additional inequalities and potentially a loss of faith in the accuracy of the U.S. electoral system. Supporting the Brennan's Ballot Design Project would address these problems.

The Brennan Center is well positioned to accomplish the outlined project objectives, with proven expertise, credibility and contacts at the state level. Its Democracy Program is well regarded for its research and litigation in the areas of voting rights and elections, campaign finance reform, and government accountability. It is led by Susan Liss, who during the Clinton-Gore administration was Deputy Assistant Attorney General for Policy Development in the Department of Justice and Chief of Staff and Counselor in the Civil Rights Division.

2012 sample below: an internal chart of OSF's Public Sphere Goals including media, governance, blaming the Right for "money in politics," and Voting & Courts.

Tools | _board book sept... x | 30 / 150 | 79% | ...

2013 Budget Goals and Background Public Sphere

	Journalism, Media Policy & Civic Discourse	Open & Effective Governance	Money in Politics, Voting & Courts
Higher	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eliminate racial and economic disparities in Internet access; establish and enforce net neutrality and privacy protections. <i>No work on global Internet governance.</i> Research on the development and manipulation of media narratives and public discourse; <i>no research on fact-based discourse/disinformation.</i> Support innovations in state and municipal investigative and beat journalism innovation in 3-5 locations. <p>\$6.6m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In coordination with OSI-DC, improve federal policy and practice on access to information, whistleblower protection, and other key transparency policies. By 2016, strengthen and disseminate model open governance efforts in one new city, accompanied by programmatic efforts to disseminate models elsewhere. Support national coordination and networking of city, state, and federal government innovators. (We recommend that support for local demonstration projects fall under City-State Program.) <p>\$3.0m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce influence of money in politics through jurisprudential change, state-level policy change, and communications work to shift narrative. Deter suppressive voting policies; protect voters from intimidation in 12 states; advance suite of affirmative reforms; research alternative voting policy/practice Advance diversity of background and ideology on Federal courts through coordinated elite & grassroots organizing; idea generation and diffusion on role of courts and the Constitution. <p>\$9.5m</p>
Intermediate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce racial and economic disparities in Internet access; advocate for net neutrality and privacy protections. <i>No work on global Internet governance.</i> Research on the development and manipulation of media narratives and public discourse; <i>no research on fact-based discourse/disinformation.</i> <i>Reduced support for investigative and beat journalism innovation and infrastructure.</i> <p>\$5.1m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In coordination with OSI-DC, improve federal policy and practice on access to information, whistleblower protection, and other key transparency policies. Strengthen existing model open governance efforts, accompanied by programmatic efforts to disseminate models elsewhere. Explore national coordination and networking of city, state, and federal government innovators. (We recommend that support for local demonstration projects fall under City-State Program.) <p>\$2.5m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More limited effort to challenge money in politics jurisprudence, and establish new policies in fewer states; <i>no communications/narrative effort.</i> Deter suppressive voting policies; <i>protect voters from intimidation in six states; advance one key affirmative reform; research alternative voting policy/practice.</i> Effort to shape composition of Federal courts focused on grassroots (<i>but not elite</i>) organizing; idea generation and diffusion on role of courts and the Constitution. <p>\$7.865</p>
Lower	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Challenge racial and economic disparities in Internet access; advocate for net neutrality and privacy protections. <i>No work on global Internet governance.</i> <i>No research on media narratives and discourse; no research on fact-based discourse/disinformation.</i> <i>No support for investigative and beat journalism innovation and infrastructure (tie-off).</i> <p>\$3.9m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In coordination with OSI-DC, improve federal policy and practice on access to information, whistleblower protection, and other key transparency policies. <i>Tie-off existing grants on municipal open governance.</i> <i>No support for coordination and networking of city, state, and federal government innovators.</i> <p>\$1.7m</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focused effort to change money in politics jurisprudence with communications work to shift narrative; <i>no state-level policy change.</i> Deter suppressive voting policies; <i>no work on intimidation; no work on affirmative reforms or research on alternative voting policy/practice.</i> Exploratory research on judicial professionalism & impartiality; <i>tie-off work on federal judicial nominations and idea generation and diffusion on courts and the Constitution.</i> <p>\$4.54m</p>

_board book sept 2012 9 25 12-f=|board book sept 2012 9 25 12.pdf&t=us.pdf

_board book sept... x

32 / 150 79%

A RESPONSIVE AND EFFECTIVE GOVERNMENT

Government Transparency: A more open, transparent and responsive government requires us to shrink the influence of special interests; upgrade the culture of civil service; grow civil society capacity, and most important, ensure public investment and engagement in governance. Efforts to this end must harness opportunities created by new technologies that lower barriers to information-sharing and participation. New models of government and citizen engagement start with stronger transparency policies, but also require citizens to use available, accessible information to work collaboratively with government to develop solutions to civic problems. We see an opportunity to expand upon existing work (in New Orleans) by building additional models through U.S. Programs' evolving City-State Program. OSF is the largest of a handful of funders of U.S. transparency work, and collaborates closely with the Ford, Bauman, and Surdna Foundations.

Voting: The challenge now is to push back against the substantial partisan effort to downsize the electorate, from redistricting to ID laws to cutbacks in early voting, and to ensure that attacks on the constitutionality of Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act are vigorously defended. We have begun to seize on the uptick in media attention to change the impoverished narrative on voting, a strategy that appears to be having an impact, and will continue to simultaneously advance one or more affirmative reforms that make voting easier, expand the electorate, and contend with new threats, e.g., the rise of private "challenger" groups. OSF is one of a handful of significant funders of voter protection and elections systems reform work. With Carnegie and Ford, OSF leads in setting field priorities.

Money in politics: Deregulation has resulted in record amounts of money in politics, and donors can now legally conceal their identities while making outsize donations. While public outrage is growing, reform possibilities are severely limited by existing case law. Since other funders are supporting policy change, we believe in prioritizing focused litigation efforts. There may also be opportunity in the potential of a new coalition co-led by the Sierra Club, NAACP and Communications Workers of America, who seek to force change on money in politics, voting rights and Congressional dysfunction (to whom we could provide convening support though not funding). OSF co-leads the large national working group of funders on money in politics, is actively re-engaging lapsed donors, and coordinating funding where there are opportunities for near-term wins. Our leadership has leveraged significant new funding from donor partners including MacArthur and the Rockefeller Brothers Fund.

Judiciary: Whether on money in politics, voting rights, criminal justice policy, or transparency policy, conservative federal courts are undermining fundamental rights and democratic processes. The paucity of funders and advocates who recognize the courts as pivotal to the success of the issues on which they work may be the principal challenge to changing the courts. We do however see new opportunity in the public's increasing awareness of the power of federal courts in policy debates and outcomes; and in the development of promising new organizing and engagement models. We also see new opportunities to reset the national dialogue on the role and function of courts and the Constitution in a democracy. Our leadership and advocacy for judicial independence led to a recent doubling of the funding for that work,

Find (1/21)
voting
Previous
Replace with

32

Many more recent Open Society Fdn. insights and grants are accessible, eg. www.Scribd.com

5) Soros funding of Secretaries of State, District Attorneys, Governors, members of Congress, Supreme Court, census, redistricting etc.




By J. Christian Adams

Link: <https://pjmedia.com/jchristianadams/2016/09/19/manipulating-american-elections-the-lefts-ten-wishes-to-transform-america/>

Meanwhile, the Soros-funded Brennan Center and propaganda media amplify the meme that “voter fraud is a myth.”

Is Voter Fraud a Myth?




Don't Let Data Contradict My Narrative

Yet in spite of all this, a report by the Brennan Center at New York University claims voter fraud is a myth. It argues that North Carolina, which passed comprehensive measures to prevent voter fraud, “failed to identify even a single individual who has ever been charged with committing in-person voter fraud in North Carolina.”

However, this faulty reasoning does not point to the lack of in-person voter fraud, but rather to lack of enforcement mechanisms to identify and prosecute in-person voter fraud.

By John Gibbs, The Federalist



efforts to modernize state registration systems by working with state officials to move from reliance on paper registration forms to digital data, and towards implementation of systems that allow voter rolls to be automatically updated when a voter moves, changes his or her name, or dies. We also recommend part of this grant support the Voter Information Project or VIP, a tool developed by Pew in partnership with Google, Microsoft, and the New Organizing Institute. VIP provides voters and engagement groups free, accurate, official answers from elections offices to most frequently asked questions about Election Day, through an accessible online platform.

We also recommend a series of grants that address the anti-voter policies and practices proliferating across the country in the lead up to the 2012 elections. Specifically, we recommend renewing project support to the **Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights under Law** to organize and implement an Election Protection program, and to tackle restrictive photo voter ID laws and citizenship requirements through litigation, advocacy, and voter education. We also recommend a new project support grant to **Project Vote**. This grant would allow Project Vote to work with U.S. Programs “anchor grantee” The Advancement Project on litigation and mitigation efforts in states with the most repressive voting laws, and to work with Fund grantee Campaign Legal Center to protect voters from caging and other voter suppression and intimidation schemes.

Related to these grants to national organizations, we recommend a new project support grant to the **State Infrastructure Fund** or SIF, a donor collaborative which supports state-based groups working on election administration and voter protection. (Usually, Public Interest Projects, where SIF is housed, charges donors a 15% administrative fee, but in this case, the Carnegie Corporation will cover the bulk of the administrative costs (12%), and Public Interest Projects will only charge a processing fee of 3% to others who join the fund, including OSF.) While OSF's national grantees are doing important work, they do not have a presence on the ground in the states. Given the unusually high volume and pace of state-level activity, the Fund believes it is strategic to place some funds at SIF. SIF can quickly and efficiently move funds to key regions and address critical needs as they arise, leverage its donors to fill important gaps, and take advantage of strategic opportunities. Fund staff will have a seat on SIF's Steering Committee, which will allow us to coordinate state-directed giving with other election administration and voter protection donors.

Finally, to advance our work in response to the Supreme Court's *Citizens United* decision, we recommend a new project support grant to **Public Citizen**, to support the Corporate Reform Coalition, an alliance of over 70 government watchdogs, socially responsible investment firms,

across the ideological spectrum (including many U.S. Programs grantees) demanding these protections. Intensive dialogue between the Make It Safe Coalition, which GAP coordinates, the Obama administration, and both chambers of Congress paved its way. Although a great victory, government whistleblower rights are still second class compared to those in the private sector. The House blocked two cornerstones of the legislation: jury trials to enforce newly-enacted protections, and extension of free speech rights to national security workers making disclosures within agency channels. However, after the House removed the national security whistleblower provision from the bill, the Obama administration made good on its promise to take executive action on those rights, and on October 10, largely restored, in a Presidential Policy Directive, the national security rights that the House had removed. (No OSF funds were earmarked for lobbying on legislation in these efforts.)

Judiciary

High-spending by candidates and outside groups continued to mark judicial elections in 2012. But the results also offer reasons for optimism. Efforts to end or weaken merit selection failed by wide margins everywhere measures were on the ballot (Florida, Missouri, and Arizona). In retention elections in Iowa and Florida, judges targeted by right-wing political groups kept their seats, demonstrating that well-organized efforts to educate voters about the need for judicial independence (and the dangers of jettisoning a judge for an unpopular decision) are succeeding. Still, big money races in Michigan, Florida, and elsewhere reminded advocates that there is much work still to be done to restore fairness and impartiality in America's state courts.

Voting

The 2012 elections proved that momentum is with the voting rights and civil rights community rather than their detractors. Not only was this field successful at blocking restrictive laws from being implemented in Pennsylvania, Florida, Ohio, Texas, Wisconsin and South Carolina, but a strong coalition

in Minnesota came from behind to achieve the first victory against photo ID on the ballot. In addition, it became clear that restrictive steps taken by leaders in Ohio and Florida led to long lines and voter unrest on Election Day. It was also evident that Pennsylvania's underhanded campaign to make voters believe ID was required led to widespread confusion and problems on Election Day. Referring to these difficulties in casting a ballot, the President said in his victory speech, "we need to fix that," and the final few months of 2012 may have provided the much needed momentum, data, stories, and experience to do just that.

In a specific grant update, the U.S. Programs board-funded communications and messaging project was successfully led by the Brennan Center and the Advancement Project, and played an important role in the spike in media attention on voting rights this year. The groups developed affirmative voting rights messages and shared them widely in and beyond the field. The messages were used verbatim hundreds of times in sources ranging from *The New York Times* to the *Philadelphia Inquirer*, quickly and fully working their way into the media, national and local, and across social networking sites. Among the outcomes: public opinion and communications were instrumental in influencing registration and GOTV efforts, the outcome of ballot initiatives, and the string of court victories for voting rights this fall.

The Minnesota ballot victory also owed in part to this work. Minnesota voters defeated a ballot initiative that would have amended the state constitution to require voters to present a photo ID at the polls in order to be able to vote. The success is especially notable in light of how well positioned for passage the amendment was. Minnesota advocates combined a media campaign with direct advocacy, both of which included several of our grantees' new messaging research findings (after our grantees had also held a special webinar for groups in Minnesota) – that elections should be free, fair, and accessible, politicians shouldn't manipulate the system, and that voter ID would hurt seniors and veterans. Of particular note, advocates were quite

90

strategic in not going head to head against the validity of voter ID. As the new research found, people overwhelmingly support voter ID. But that support fades when the flaws of such laws are raised (and, then, the popular response is to fix the law or allow some alternative for people like senior citizens and veterans.) The Minnesota campaign kept the focus on how the legislature "goofed up" and that voters should send the amendment back to the legislature. The final election result: the amendment was defeated 52 percent to 46 percent.


National Security and Human

Strategic Opportunities Fund

In November, the Strategic Opportunities Fund and the Equality and Opportunity Fund cohosted a briefing and strategy session for funders and advocates for Thunder Valley Community Development Corporation. Thunder Valley hasn't been a direct grantee of OSF but rather a thought partner for our work related to Native American rights. They are one of the organizations that hosted an OSF learning visit for funders to Pine Ridge and Cheyenne River in the Fall of 2011. The briefing addressed community-centered and youth-led planning and revitalization efforts in Pine Ridge,



Sample of election fraud in Philadelphia: early voting was exploited by numerous individuals to vote multiple times, on multiple days, and cast multiple ballots each visit. I also have the name of the election official who was informed but allowed it to continue, and all of this took place under the watch of a DA Larry Krasner who can be tied to George Soros.



By Cully Stimson

Link: <https://www.dailysignal.com/2020/10/29/meet-larry-krasner-the-rogue-prosecutor-wreaking-havoc-in-philadelphia/>

Debate Commission Member Has Ties to Soros-backed Transition Integrity Project

by Luis Miguel October 12, 2020

Facebook Twitter LinkedIn Parler Email Print



AP Images

Many Americans take it as a foregone conclusion that the debates are rigged in favor of the Democratic candidate. Now we know who's doing the rigging.

By Luis Miguel

Link: <https://thenewamerican.com/debate-commission-member-has-ties-to-soros-backed-transition-integrity-project/>

Soros-funded State Attorneys, District Attorneys, Sheriff(s) included for inquiry (author unknown)

	Name	Position	City, State, County	Soros Money	Year	Source
1	Andrew Warren	State Attorney	Hillsborough County, Florida		2016	https://amac.us/why-is-george-soros-buying-district-attorneys/
2	Aramis Ayala	State Attorney	Orange & Osceola Counties	\$1,400,000.00	2016	https://legalinsurrection.com/2018/05/george-soros-dumps-big-mor
3	Buta Biberraj	Running for DA	Loudoun, Virginia		2019	https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/12/18/liberal-virginia-prosecutor
4	Chesa Boudin	District Attorney	San Francisco, CA.	\$1,000,000.00	2020	https://usafeatures.news/2019/11/15/more-liberal-das-backed-by-a
5	Genevieve Jones-Wright	Lost Primary for DA	San Diego, CA.		2018	
6	Jack Stollsteimer	District Attorney	Philadelphia, Delaware County	\$1,000,000.00	2019	https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2019/nov/14/george-soro
7	James Stewart	District Attorney	Caddo Parish, Louisiana	\$930,000.00	2015	https://www.politico.com/story/2016/08/george-soros-criminal-just
8	Joe Gonzales	District Attorney	Bexar County, Texas	\$958,000.00	2019	
9	Kim Foxx	State Attorney ****	Chicago, Cook County	\$408,000.00	2016	https://www.brettbart.com/politics/2019/03/26/george-soros-donat
10	Kim Ogg	District Attorney ****	Houston, Harris County, Texas	\$500,000.00	2016	https://www.texasmonthly.com/politics/harris-county-da-kim-ogg-c
11	Kimberly Gardner	City Circuit Attorney ****	St. Louis City		2017	https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2019/09/soros-funded-st-louis
12	Larry Krasner	District Attorney	Philadelphia, PA.	\$1,450,000.00	2017	
13	Max Wall	Lost DA to Kevin Barton	Washington County, Oregon	\$100,000.00	2018	https://www.eweek.com/news/2018/04/25/big-out-of-state-money
14	Noah Phillips	Lost Primary for DA	Sacramento, Ca.		2018	
15	Parisa Dehghani-Tafti	Commonwealth Attorney	Arlington, Virginia		2019	https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/12/18/liberal-virginia-prosecutor
16	Paul Penzone	Sheriff	Maricopa County, Arizona	\$2,000,000.00	2019	https://www.lawenforcementtoday.com/let-exclusive-maricopa-co
17	Rachael Rollins	District Attorney	Suffolk County, Massachusetts		2019	https://needtoknow.news/2018/12/boston-newly-elected-da-funde
18	Raul Torrez	District Attorney ****	Albuquerque New Mexico	\$100,000.00	2016	https://www.alternet.org/2020/04/how-a-progressive-prosecutor-di
19	Robert Shuler Smith	District Attorney - Running for Governor	Hinds County, Jackson Mississippi	\$56,433.00	2015	https://kingfish1935.blogspot.com/2015/10/soros-drops-nearly-4000
20	Scott Colom	District Attorney	Clay, Lowndes, Oktibbehs, and Noxubee counties	\$89,220.00		
21	Steve Descano	Commonwealth Attorney	Fairfax, Virginia		2019	https://www.dailysignal.com/2019/12/18/liberal-virginia-prosecutor
22						
23		**** = Up for re election in 2020				
24						


Link: <https://blog.com/2020/08/31/list-of-soros-backed-district-attorneys/>

capitalresearch.org

Soros Aims to Transform the Justice System by Funding DA Races - Capital Research Center

DECEPTION & MISDIRECTION

Soros Aims to Transform the Justice System by Funding DA Races



Financier and left-wing philanthropist George Soros contributed large sums to progressive candidates running for **district attorney (DA)** all around the country, apparently in hopes of changing the law enforcement system at the county or district level.

By *Shane Devine*
DECEMBER 17, 2019

Since 2015, he has spent more than \$17 million on district attorney and other local races in swing states such as Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Arizona.

By Shane Devine, Capital Research Center

Link: <https://capitalresearch.org/article/soros-aims-to-transform-the-justice-system-by-funding-da-races/>

foxnews.com

DAs backed by Soros, other liberal activists join fray in clash with police | Fox News

POLICE AND LAW ENFORCEMENT Published July 30

DAs backed by Soros, other liberal activists join fray in clash with police

Under-the-radar political investments made by progressive groups in recent years may be paying

By Ronn Blitzer | Fox News



Protests flare up following two-month anniversary of George Floyd's death

Protesters in Austin, Texas, Tampa, Ariz., and Portland, Ore., took to the streets in their respective cities to march in step with the Black Lives Matter movement. In some instances, police and federal agents clashed with protesters, but in one demonstration, protesters paid their respects to one of their own in Texas.

Calls for drastic criminal justice and police reforms have swept the country since the

FOX NEWS DEMOCRACY 2020

- ✓ Presidential E
- ✓ Live Coverage
- ✓ Probability M
- ✓ and More...

Fox News MORNING HEADLINES

Get all the stories you need from the most powerful & delivered first thing every your inbox

Articles (Watchlist)

Subscribe

2020 Presidential E



By Ronn Blitzer, Fox News

Link: <https://www.foxnews.com/politics/da-soros-justice>


Soros's influence of courts, census, redistricting, political, cultural and judicial activism –

breitbart.com

BREITBART **B** BIG GOVERNMENT BIG JOURNALISM BIG HOLLYWOOD NATIONAL SECURITY TECH VIDEO
BREITBART LONDON BREITBART JERUSALEM BREITBART TEXAS BREITBART CALIFORNIA

LEAKED DOC: SOROS OPEN SOCIETY SEEKS TO RESHAPE CENSUS, ELECTORAL DISTRICTS

f SHARE 3356 EMAIL g+ SHARE 16 TWEET



Chip Somodevilla/Getty Images

by AARON KLEIN | 22 Aug 2016 | 727

According to a hacked document, George Soros's Open Society Foundation is

SIGN UP FOR OUR NEWSLETTER
email address **SUBMIT**

By Aaron Klein, Breitbart

Soros-funded Activism, Illegal Immigration and Voter Registration

neonettle.com

Joining 'Soros Funded' Antifa Is Now Illegal, Punishable By 15 Years In Prison

SUPPORT US

HOME NEWS FEATURES ENTERTAINMENT NETTLE FEED NET

World News | US News | UK News | Popular | Conspiracy | Corruption | Crime | Entertainment

Joining 'Soros Funded' Antifa Is Now Illegal, Punishable By 15 Years In Prison

The bill was introduced in June and has received an enthusiastic push

By: Daniel Newton | @NeonNettle on 12th July 2018 @ 11:00pm



The bill was introduced in June and has received an enthusiastic push

A new bill proposed in the U.S. House of Representatives named the "Unmasking Antifa Act of 2018" will make it a criminal offense to "committing certain offenses while in disguise" and make joining Antifa illegal.

The bill is now being proposed by Rep. Dan Donovan (R-NY), Rep. Peter King (R-NY), Rep. Paul Gosar (R-Ariz.), and Rep. Ted Budd (R-N.C.)


The bill was introduced in June and has received an enthusiastic push from conservative commentator Mike Cernovich who retweeted Mic reporter Jack Smith IV on Tuesday.

capitalresearch.org

Origins of Antifa - Capital Research Center

Origins of Antifa

Briefly, what is Antifa and why should anyone care about it?



The Left's explosive, prolonged rage at the election of President Donald Trump on Nov. 8, 2016, gave new life to the ultra-violent leftist movement known as Antifa.

As a result, Antifa, which has been described as a revolutionary communist-anarchist militia movement that aspires to forcibly overthrow the United States government, is responsible for "a level of sustained political street warfare not seen in the U.S. since the 1960s."

Reports of Antifa violence are everywhere, which is why the U.S. Department of Homeland Security has quietly designated Antifa as "domestic terrorists." (Capital Research Center produced a three-part documentary series, America Under Siege, about Antifa and other political actors.)

by Matthew Vadum

APRIL 16, 2018

COMMENTS

By Matthew Vadum

Link: <https://capitalresearch.org/article/origins-of-antifa/>

Grants to Antifa ally, Alliance for Justice (AfJ), appear linked to Open Society Fdn. and also to the Ford Foundation

efile GRAPHIC print - DO NOT PROCESS As Filed Data -		DLN: 93491319012669	
Form 990-PF Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service	Return of Private Foundation or Section 4947(a)(1) Trust Treated as Private Foundation ▶ Do not enter social security numbers on this form as it may be made public. ▶ Go to www.irs.gov/Form990PF for instructions and the latest information.		OMB No 1545-0052 2018 Open to Public Inspection
For calendar year 2018, or tax year beginning 01-01-2018, and ending 12-31-2018			
Name of foundation The Ford Foundation		A Employer identification number 13-1684331	
% MAL-ANH TRAN Number and street (or P O box number if mail is not delivered to street address) 320 East 43rd Street		B Telephone number (see instructions)	
Alliance for Justice Eleven Dupont Circle NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 200361206	NONE	PC	Core support for the Bolder Advocacy Program and project support for trainings expert technical assistance and other resources to build the advocacy capacity of nonprofit organizations
			337,500




Source: Politico

Further, Open Society Foundation funds “faith” orgs and fronts like Sojourners, PICO, Faith in Public Life and more to leverage the influence and pay those advancing Soros’s Open Society agenda items such as open borders, voter registration and demoralization.

Pope Francis's Visit to America

From an Open Society internal memo



Pope Francis's Visit. OSF placed a bet earlier in 2015 that two faith-based grantees—the PICO congregation- and community-based organizing network, and Faith in Public Life, a faith strategy and communications center—would be able to make the most of his trip. Both are using the papal visit to inspire more sustained participation in movements to challenge over-incarceration, confront economic inequality, and expand immigrants' rights while also bringing new energy into the 2016 presidential conversation. PICO's "40 Days of Faithful Action" campaign will organize participation from tens of thousands of its members nationwide through 56 (and counting) vigils and community actions in 15 states, as well as online petitions and Twitter actions. The events will occur in places that inspire reflection and action – in lofty cathedrals, more humble local houses of worship, and outside of immigrant detention centers, ICE offices, city jails, and state prisons – and will complement the participation of hundreds of PICO's national leaders in papal events in Philadelphia. OSF is co-hosting a "Francis Effect" briefing for funders (with the Ford Foundation, on November 10) that will feature PICO, Faith in Public Life, labor unions, and the National Domestic Workers Alliance.



By John Zmirak, *The Stream*

Link: <https://stream.org/woke-as-the-papacy-wap-pope-francis-new-rap-on-capitalism-borders-and-politics/>

Internal Open Society Foundation (OSF) documents (eg. below) show October, 2015 opposition to Donald Trump, at a time when Mr. Soros was requesting Pres. Obama bring more refugees and migrants to the United States.

THE STATE OF U.S. PROGRAMS

TO: USP Advisory Board
FROM: Ken Zimmerman and Andrea Batista Schlesinger
DATE: September 23, 2015

The beginning of fall has ushered in a remarkable set of activities, issues, and engagements for U.S. Programs (USP) and our extended networks: seismic shifts related to immigration triggered by the European refugee crisis and the nativist rhetoric of Donald Trump; the Pope's visit embracing issues from death penalty abolition to economic inequality; the broadening of the criminal justice reform coalitions and agenda even as cracks emerge between the widely disparate players; the novel attacks on leading non-profits such as those that have upended Planned Parenthood. All told, the roller coaster that is always involved in advancing open society has been particularly vigorous in recent weeks. And it comes at a time which is always a busy one for USP in terms of our core operational issues: the completion of our proposed 2016 budget, the significant personnel process known as "role alignment," and ongoing grant making which has involved 111 grants totaling \$25m that we have made since the last board meeting. These, of course, reflect longer-term strategies that inform, but are not captive to the ups and downs of the moment.

Consistent with our recent practice, this memo provides an overview of the state of USP and its operations with a focus on items that are not otherwise covered in the upcoming board discussions. We begin with several "reports from the field" which, in conjunction with the USP Updates by Goal, beginning on page 52, provide information about select developments informing activities of USP and our grantees. Starting on page 34, we provide an overview of both our programmatic grant making and the summary of the use of the Opportunities Fund since our last meeting. The final section covers finances, personnel, and other matters.

I. SELECTED REPORTS FROM THE FIELD

The Domestic Engagement around the Syrian Refugee Crisis. Drawing on our founder's deep engagement with the European refugee and migration crisis, USP and our colleagues at the Open Society Policy Center (OSPC), have moved quickly to turn domestic sympathy into policy change consistent with OSF's international efforts and to see over the longer term whether the crisis might help push back on the deeply nativist rhetoric emerging from the Republic primary candidates. Over the course of several weeks, we, along with our colleagues in the Washington office led by Stephen Rickard, combined to undertake very active efforts to support a request to the Administration to provide a special allocation of an additional 100,000 refugee slots for Syrians and significantly expanded resources for international aid efforts. George Soros made such a request to President Obama in a recent letter, and 18 mayors across America are committed to welcoming refugees to their cities in numbers surpassing the administration's proposal. We used the extensive networks we



Source: LifeNews.com

FOX NEWS FOX BUSINESS FOX NEWS GO FOX NEWS RADIO FOX+NATION FOX NEWS INSIDER LOGIN

FOX NEWS Politics

Home Video Politics U.S. Opinion Business Entertainment Tech Science Health Travel Lifestyle World On Air

Find Fox Business Network in your area. All you need is your zip code. Find

Politics Home Executive Senate House Defense Judiciary Fox News Poll

CONTROVERSIES

Soros-tied networks, foundations joined forces to create Trump 'resistance' fund

By Joe Schoffstall · Published April 03, 2017 · Washington Free Beacon

Three liberal donor networks and foundations with ties to billionaire George Soros have joined forces to form a new fund to "resist" President Donald Trump.

The Emergent Fund, which carries a goal of fighting "immediate threats" to "immigrants, women, Muslim and Arab-American communities, black people, LGBTQ communities, and all people of color," was established late last year to quickly fund groups to take direct, immediate action against Republicans.

Since the fund's formation, it has received little public attention. It has raised

Pay off your mortgage faster with a 15-year fixed loan

6) Soros's Influence in Anti-Trump Media & Citizen Censorship

Open Society Foundations is a funder of Media Matters's media network. After the Trump 2016 victory, Media Matters (David Brock), American Bridge and CREW put forth a 49-page plan to "disarm" and smear pro-Trump and/or conservative speech as "disinformation," and to use this to pressure Google, Facebook and Twitter to silence and/or shame Pres. Trump and voters.

"Our purpose is to keep Trump unpopular"

THE STREAM

Soros-Funded David Brock Connected to Tech Giants' Censoring of Conservatives




344 31

By RACHEL ALEXANDER Published on August 26, 2018 • 3 Comments

The big tech giants' crackdown on conservatives is no coincidence. Left-wing activist and Media Matters founder David Brock outlined the strategy in a secret "Strategic Plan for



(Source: www.Scribd.com)



Question: How are these CREW goals “nonpartisan,” in their words “Trump will be afflicted by a steady flow of damaging information...”? Are these organizations funded as 501c3 non-profits? From their documents ...

CREW will be the leading nonpartisan ethics watchdog group in a period of crisis with a president and administration that present possible conflicts of interest and ethical problems on an unprecedented scale. CREW will demand ethical conduct from the administration and all parts of government, expose improper influence from powerful interests, and ensure accountability when the administration and others shirk ethical standards, rules, and laws. Here's what success will look like:

- **Trump will be afflicted by a steady flow of damaging information, new revelations, and an inability to avoid conflicts issues.**
- **The Trump administration will be forced to defend illegal conduct in court.**
- **Powerful industries and interest groups will see their influence wane.**
- **Dark money will be a political liability in key states.**

OVERARCHING STRATEGY

As we move into our fourth election cycle, the vision of Bridge as permanent progressive infrastructure is coming to fruition. We are beginning to see the full value of our investment in researching and tracking candidates over multiple cycles.

With 20,000 hours of video, 289 candidate research books, and the largest available archive of Trump research in the Democratic Party, we are uniquely prepared to take on the Trump administration, defeat Republicans who enable it, and rebuild progressive power in the states.

Our new Trump War Room, launched within weeks of the election, is already scrutinizing the presidential transition and will vigilantly watchdog the personnel, policies, and practices of the administration.

Our purpose is to keep Trump unpopular and make it more difficult for candidates who support him to get elected in 2018.

American Bridge 2020 Plan | 15

COLLABORATING WITH SOCIAL MEDIA PLATFORMS

Outlets that push fake news are completely dependent on Facebook to spread their lies, and ad networks like Google to fund them.

Media Matters has unique insight to help fix problems in this part of the media landscape.

After Facebook responded to our campaign by acknowledging the problem of fake news and agreeing to do something about it, we began a dialogue. It became clear from these conversations that Facebook needed our help in fully understanding the problem and identifying concrete solutions. Further, it also became clear that we had information and insight that they didn't have that was helpful in educating them on the full scope of the problem. For example, Media Matters had a detailed map of the constellation of right-wing Facebook pages that had been the biggest purveyors of fake news—as well as insight into the food chain of fake news and how it was moving through the Facebook ecosystem.

Similarly, after Google revised their terms of service in order to prohibit so-called fake news sites from using their advertising network, it was Media Matters that had the information necessary to identify 40 of the worst fake news sites to which this policy applied.

GOT FACEBOOK TO COMMIT TO FIGHTING THE RISE OF FAKE NEWS.

During the 2016 election, Facebook refused to do anything about the dangerous rise of fake news or even acknowledge their role in promoting disinformation: Mark Zuckerberg called the notion that fake news is a problem "crazy." In November, we launched a campaign pressuring Facebook to: 1) acknowledge the problem of the proliferation of fake news on Facebook and its consequences for our democracy and 2) commit to taking action to fix the problem. As a result of our push for accountability, Zuckerberg did both. Our campaign was covered by prominent national political, business, and tech media outlets, and we've been engaging with Facebook leadership behind the scenes to share our expertise and offer input on developing meaningful solutions.

Internet and social media platforms, like Google and Facebook, will no longer uncritically and without consequence host and enrich fake news sites and propagandists. Social media companies will engage with us over their promotion of the fake news industry. Facebook will adjust its model

18,134
research, analysis, and rapid-response
pieces published since 2013

to stem the flow of damaging fake news on its platform's pages. Google will cut off these pages' accompanying sites' access to revenue by pulling their access to Google's ad platform.

Toxic alt-right social media-fueled harassment campaigns that silence dissent and poison our national discourse will be punished and halted. Hundreds of thousands of activists will join our campaigns to push back on alt-right harassment. Key alt-right figures will lose credibility and influence in response to our research and pressure.

BUDGET AND TEAM

Media Matters' core budget for 2017 is \$13.4 million, which covers a staff of 81.

This budget allows us to create a 34-person research department engaged in media monitoring, research, deep dive analysis, and rapid response. In addition, this supports five issue-specific teams housing experts that provide broad support to the progressive movement on topics key progressive issues like gun violence and public safety, LGBT equality, reproductive health and gender equality, climate and energy, and economic policy.

This budget also allows us to invest in technological innovations like the creation of an early warning system to identify the proliferation of fake news more efficiently and to create cutting-edge predictive

More from Media Matters (likely by David Brock) ...

In the next four years, **Media Matters** will continue its core mission of disarming right-wing misinformation, while leading the fight against the next generation of conservative disinformation: The proliferation of fake news and propaganda now threatening the country's information ecosystem. Here's what success will look like:

- **Serial misinformers and right-wing propagandists inhabiting everything from social media to the highest levels of government will be exposed, discredited.**
- **Internet and social media platforms, like Google and Facebook, will no longer uncritically and without consequence host and enrich fake news sites and propagandists.**
- **Toxic alt-right social media-fueled harassment campaigns that silence dissent and poison our national discourse will be punished and halted.**

American Bridge will cement itself as the standard-bearer of opposition research, build on its role as a progressive clearinghouse for information that drives the narrative on Republican officeholders and candidates, and be at the epicenter of Democrats' work to regain power—starting in 2017 and building to 2020. Here's what success will look like:

- **Trump will be defeated either through impeachment or at the ballot box in 2020.**
- **The balance of power will shift back to Democrats. We will measurably impact US Senate, gubernatorial, and state legislative races.**
- **We will free ourselves from solely relying on the press. Our robust digital program will reach voters directly online.**

CREW will be the leading nonpartisan ethics watchdog group in a period of crisis with a president and administration that present possible conflicts of interest and ethical problems on an unprecedented scale. CREW will demand ethical conduct from the administration and all parts of government, expose improper influence from powerful interests, and ensure accountability when the administration and others shirk ethical standards, rules, and laws. Here's what success will look like:

- **Trump will be afflicted by a steady flow of damaging information, new revelations, and an inability to avoid conflicts issues.**
- **The Trump administration will be forced to defend illegal conduct in court.**
- **Powerful industries and interest groups will see their influence wane.**
- **Dark money will be a political liability in key states.**

Shareblue will take back social media for Democrats. We will delegitimize Donald Trump's presidency by emboldening the opposition and empowering the majority of Americans who oppose him. Shareblue will be the dynamic nucleus of a multi-platform media company that informs, engages, and arms Americans to fight. Here's what success will look like:

- **Shareblue will become the de facto news outlet for opposition leaders and the grassroots.**
- **Trump allies will be forced to step down or change course due to news pushed by Shareblue.**
- **Under pressure from Shareblue, Democrats will take more aggressive positions against Trump.**
- **Shareblue will achieve financial sustainability while diversifying content offerings and platforms.**
- **Top editorial and writing talent will leave competitors to join Shareblue.**

And boasts of reputational injury to leaders, such as Rudy Guiliani, here...

DERAILED RUDY GIULIANI'S CABINET NOMINATION.

American Bridge exposed Rudy Giuliani's business record and transnational conflicts of interest in news outlets like the *New York Times* (front page), the *Washington Post* and CNN. The *New York Times* subsequently reported that "the headlines about Mr. Giuliani's business interests bothered Mr. Trump." Giuliani later withdrew his name from consideration.

American Bridge 2020 Plan | 19

WND

MEMO REVEALS SOROS-FUNDED SOCIAL-MEDIA CENSORSHIP PLAN

Plotted with Google, Facebook to eliminate 'right wing propaganda'

Published: 08/20/2018 at 8:41 PM



ART MOORE About | Email | Archive

Follow

360 followers


Subscribe to feed

Share on Facebook Share on Twitter Email 4.9K Print A A



George Soros

The recent wave of censorship of conservative voices on the internet by tech giants Facebook, Twitter, YouTube and Apple mirrors a plan concocted by a coalition of George Soros-funded, progressive groups to take back power in Washington from President Trump's administration.



A confidential, 49-page memo for defeating Trump by working with the major social-media platforms to eliminate "right wing propaganda and fake news" was presented in January 2017 by Media Matters founder David Brock at a retreat in Florida with about 100 donors, the Washington Free Beacon reported at the time.



TECH

Leaked Soros Document Calls For Regulating Internet To Favor 'Open Society' Supporters



PETER HASSON Reporter, Associate Editor


9:41 AM 08/29/2016

capitalresearch.org

Soros and Clinton Want Facebook to Censor Conservatives - Capital Research Center

DECEPTION & MISDIRECTION

Soros and Clinton Want Facebook to Censor Conservatives



George Soros. Credit: goo.gl/gmk7HM

by CRC Staff
FEBRUARY 12, 2020

Billionaire financier George Soros recently published an opinion piece in the *New York Times* accusing Facebook CEO and cofounder Mark Zuckerberg of trying to help President Donald Trump win reelection in 2020. Coincidentally, Soros echoed sentiments expressed by Hillary Clinton.

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/soros-and-clinton-want-facebook-to-censor-conservatives/>

 **Capital Research Center** 1 hr ·   

CRC President Scott Walter helped expose a Soros funded “watchdog group” for overwhelmingly targeting Republicans in this piece from the [The Washington Times](#).



WASHINGTONTIMES.COM 

Democratic AGs team up with George Soros-funded group on anti-Trump lawsuits

   10 4 Shares

judicialwatch.org

ABOUT READ NOW WATCH NOW LISTEN NOW ACT NOW PRESS ROOM DOCUMENT ARCHIVES

State Dept. Used Soros-Linked Media Tracking Tool to Monitor Journalists, Trump Allies



STATE DEPT. USED SOROS-LINKED MEDIA TRACKING TOOL TO MONITOR JOURNALISTS, TRUMP ALLIES

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF STATE
WASHINGTON

JUDICIAL WATCH'S
CORRUPTION CHRONICLES

Source: Judicial Watch

7) Soros's Larger Cultural Influence in America –

Beyond elections: concerns of Soros social engineering in America should be explored, such as efforts to undermine the Christian faith, the family, the sanctity of life, Constitutional law and liberty, national security and the economy. Much more information can be available.

See a list of Soros-funded projects from Discover the Networks, here:


<https://realisticobserver.blogspot.com/2017/04/fyi-206-us-organizations-funded-by.html?m=1>

and here: <https://www.discoverthenetworks.org/individuals/george-soros/>

Overall Leftist influence includes;

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/george-soros-a-lifetime-fortune-spent-on-liberal-influence/>

and perspective by Scott Walter, President of Capital Research Center, here:




The image is a screenshot of a web browser displaying an article from capitalresearch.org. The browser's address bar shows the URL. The article title is "George Soros: A Lifetime Fortune Spent on Liberal Influence". Below the title is a portrait of George Soros. The article text discusses his 90th birthday and the \$708 million spent by his foundations in 2018, primarily on political groups like Planned Parenthood, the Brennan Center, and the ACLU.

capitalresearch.org

George Soros: A Lifetime Fortune Spent on Liberal Influence - Capital Research Center

George Soros: A Lifetime Fortune Spent on Liberal Influence



This week, billionaire financier George Soros celebrates his 90th birthday. That's a big number, but it's dwarfed by another Soros number: 708 million.

by Scott Walter
AUGUST 19, 2020


That's the cash his two principal foundations poured out just in 2018 (the latest year publicly known), and precious little went to any ballets, symphonies, or art museums.

Instead, it went into politicized groups like Planned Parenthood, to fight for abortion; the Brennan Center, to tear down voter ID laws; and to all-purpose left-of-center powerhouses like the ACLU and John Podesta's Center for American Progress.

<https://capitalresearch.org/article/mapping-the-open-society-network-on-soross-90th-birthday/>

Consider OSF and related grants to redefine criminality and weaken crime and laws, weaken police and of U.S. national security; support of the “rights” and redefinitions of terrorists; support of the “sex work” industry in America and around the world could be investigated.

- A. Soros opposed President Bush declaring war on terror in response to the deadliest terrorist attack in history when 2,977 people died on 9/11/2001.
- B. Efforts to close Guantanamo Bay and weaken penalties and consequences for violent terrorism, funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)
- C. Weakening the rights of police to stop crime, funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)
- D. National security reform projects funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)
- E. Mass migration projects, law and media, and rights of migrants over the rights of citizens, throughout Europe and America funded by Open Society Foundations (OSF)



Source: Breitbart

Little news is organic. Much organizing and street theater is bought and paid for, often exploiting tragedies for political gains.



'An Inconvenient Truth' Sequel to Debut at Sundance Opening Night

4:25 PM PST 12/9/2016 by Rebecca Ford



Soros appears to have funded Al Gore \$30 Million to begin his Global Warming wealth redistribution crusade.

Robert Redford is on the board of Open Society Fdn.
His Sundance is heavily funded by Soros's OSF.



Two sample OSF grants to Sundance, for over \$1 million

FOUNDATION TO PROMOTE OPEN SOCIETY

26-3753801

FORM 990, PART XV - GRANTS AND CONTRIBUTIONS PAID DURING THE YEAR

ATTACHMENT 13 (CONT'D)

<u>RECIPIENT NAME AND ADDRESS</u>	<u>RELATIONSHIP TO SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTOR AND FOUNDATION STATUS OF RECIPIENT</u>	<u>PURPOSE OF GRANT OR CONTRIBUTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
STREETWISE PARTNERS 594 BROADWAY SUITE 510 NEW YORK, NY 10012	FC	TO PROVIDE GENERAL SUPPORT	20,000
SUNDANCE INSTITUTE 5900 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 800 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036	FC	TO SUPPORT THE SUNDANCE DOCUMENTARY FUND	1,000,000
SUNDANCE INSTITUTE 5900 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 800 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036	FC	TO SUPPORT CHINESE DOCUMENTARY FILMMAKERS THROUGH A WORKSHOP AND SUMMIT IN BEIJING	85,000

8) Global Influence: The Soros Network's Role in Elections and Over Nations

This topic will require a much larger portfolio as Open Society Foundations work in most nations of the world. Their overall effect seems to be one of demoralization, in both senses, to weaken sovereign nations, giving "open society" more collective power. One of many troubling aspects is the reduction of human life, or depopulation, giving global governors yet more power. Now is the time for truth to power. For justice, love and human freedom.




Below is a sample (one of many) of an OSF spreadsheet of their influence in elections by nation, dates, who and what they are funding with dollar amounts. Europe and Eurasian elections...

European Election Portfolio Review annex i ee14 project list of all elections related grants[1].copy.pdf

European Electio... x

1 / 21 101%



Open Society Initiative for Europe (OSIFE)
LIST OF EUROPEAN ELECTIONS 2014 PROJECTS

European Elections 2014 Project

The European Elections 2014 is a flagship project of the Open Society Initiative for Europe (OSIFE) that views European elections in 2014 – including national and local elections in key countries such as France, Hungary and the Netherlands – as a pivotal moment that can either rejuvenate or seriously harm European democracy, a test of the depth of the gap between governments and citizens on European integration. The European Parliamentary elections in May 2014 present a crucial opportunity for citizens and civil society actors to help influence and shape the agenda of the European Union in the EU's legislative period 2015-2019. OSIFE identified three main aims: 1) to support projects that amplify the voice and demands of constituencies that are far from the centers of power, 2) to fight all types of hate speech, and 3) to mobilize voters to take part in this rare political moment of transnational democracy.

This document lists all the elections-related grants that OSIFE is supporting through the European Elections 2014 project (open call grants, targeted mobilization efforts, up-scaling grants and grants related to post-election actions), the Hungary project, the Italy project and the Open Society Fund to Counter Xenophobia, as well as election grants from the At Home in Europe and European Civil Liberties projects. Finally, there is an election-related legacy grant from the Open Society Youth Initiative and a co-funded project of OSIFE with the OSF Information Program.

OSIFE European Elections 2014 Project – Grantees

Organization	Project title	Project countries	Project description	Project Term	Contact person	Awarded amount
Acuerdo	Radical Europe: The populist challenge	EU-wide (spotlight on FR, HU, SK)	The purpose of this grant is to create an interactive news-story as a snapshot of the current anti-European forces through a political-fiction experiment built with journalistic elements: an imaginary state. This fictional country has three levels of representation – local, regional, and national level – all based on real examples of contemporary Europe, namely from France, Slovakia and Hungary. The aim is to show the reader how these forces behave once they come to power and do it through an interactive mosaic.	02/17/2014-05/25/2014	Mr. Pedro Garcia Campos pedro@acuerdo.us	USD 15,598.38
AEGEE-Europe	Europe on Track	AT, BE, BG, CZ, DE, ES, FR, GR, HR, HU, IT, NL, PL, RO, and SK	The purpose of this grant is to ensure that AEGEE's already existing, award winning (2013 Charlemagne Youth Prize) project <i>Europe on Track</i> will reach its full potential of motivating thousands of young Europeans to participate in the European Parliamentary elections 2014. More specifically, during one month AEGEE sent two teams of volunteers travelling by train through 15 Member States to promote the European Parliament elections at universities and to provide students with relevant information, enabling them to make an informed choice. During these tours the volunteers engaged with people via street actions, flash mobs, panel discussions, workshops, interviews, information booths, and face to face meetings. The travel route included Belgium, Netherlands, Germany, Poland, Czech Republic, Austria, Slovakia, Hungary, Greece, Bulgaria, Romania, Croatia, Italy, Spain, and France.	02/01/2014-10/01/2014	Mr. Luis Alvarado president@aegee.org	USD 32,268.00

1



Open Society Initiative for Europe (OSIFE)
LIST OF EUROPEAN ELECTIONS 2014 PROJECTS


Organization	Project title	Project countries	Project description	Project Term	Contact person	Awarded amount
ALTER-EU	Towards a European Parliament Committed to Transparency and Accountability	all 28 EU Member States	The purpose of this project was to implement a pan-European pledge campaign, aimed at engaging citizens in the election process by mobilizing them to directly contact MEP candidates standing for election . The campaign called on candidates to formally pledge to improve EU accountability by introducing robust lobby transparency rules and limiting undue influence once elected. Over 1100 candidate MEPs and over 40 elected MEPs from across Europe pledged to stand-up for citizens and democracy against excessive lobbying influence by signing the 'Politics for People Not Profit' campaign pledge.	01/01/2014 - 12/31/2014	Ms. Nicola Freeman coordinator@alter-eu.org	USD 150,000.00
ARCIGAY	LGBT Mob-Watch Italy-Europe 2014	IT	This project aims to mobilize, channel and amplify the voice and demands of LGBT Italian people and their sympathetic allies in the European Elections 2014, by constructing a permanent tool for monitoring, campaigning, mobilizing and lobbying in this and further elections . Arcigay aims to inform, mobilize, and channel the voice of LGBT voters – and those sympathetic to their cause – to reduce the distance between Italian and EU standards of LGBT protection by highlighting the positive role of EU in terms of human rights and LGBT rights.	12/01/2013 - 12/31/2014	Mr. Michele Breveglieri segretario@arcigay.it	USD 99,690.00
Associazione 21 luglio	<i>Per i diritti, contro la xenofobia</i> - Campaign for rights, against xenophobia	IT	The objectives of the project are to bring human rights and fight to xenophobia and discrimination at the center of the debate for 2014 European elections and to let the voices and demands of Roma, Sinti, migrants and detained persons be heard in the debate for 2014 European elections . This project also aims to counter and reduce the xenophobic discourse during the election campaign and at the European Parliament. The project activities will include monitoring of media sources in order to detect discriminatory or inciting to race-hate behavior towards migrants and corrective actions and strategic litigation (where applicable), as well as a handbook aimed at raising the candidates' awareness on human rights of the above mentioned groups.	01/01/2014 - 07/01/2014	Mr. Paolo Stasolla segreteria@21luglio.org	USD 49,782.00
Athena Institute	Analyzing and reporting the 2014 European elections and countering extremist and populist political voices in Hungary	HU	The project combining monitoring and advocacy to counter extremist tendencies and to strengthen the voice of civil society was carried out by the Budapest-based non-profit organization Athena Institute in partnership with Eurologos Online magazine. The monitoring and documentation track of the project was to systematically analyze and compare the programs, statements, and activities of Hungarian political parties and their fringe organizations throughout the elections campaign with EU's fundamental value . The public advocacy and communication track of the project builds on these monitoring results and aims to provide daily news coverage to the general public using online media platforms.	01/01/2014 - 08/31/2014	Mr. Kristof Domina k.domina@athenainstitute.eu	USD 35,000.00

2



Sample from OSF’s internal “elections shared framework ... may 31 unit strategies” document (one of hundreds of such documents on OSF election work)

Insight and capacity: OSEPI will team up with OSIFE to run a two-level strategy to reduce the number of opponents of the open society who get elected. While OSIFE works on local campaigns to turn out the vote, OSEPI will engage pan-European parties to influence their manifestos and campaigning tactics. Many EU countries have electoral lists for European elections, so a small increase in turnout can reduce dramatically the representation that extremist parties win. Through its Foresight Program, OSEPI has created compelling data and analysis that is waking politicians and campaign managers of liberal parties from complacency. We will highlight dangers in key constituencies; use our research network to develop social media tools to support election campaigning in favour of pluralism and diversity; show politicians and officials how to frame open society concerns in ways that majority populations can identify with; and work with other OSF programs (particularly OSIFE and RIO) to make these tools available to grantees. After the 2014 election, we will caucus MEPs and officials to limit the ability of xenophobic and anti-system parties



IV. Counter xenophobia in the European election campaigns and in the EU institutions over their 2014-19 term

OSEPI commissioned research (with OSIFE) ahead of the European election to monitor online debate and analyse discrepancies between ‘elite’ rhetoric and the larger public. The papers explored the reasons for support for xenophobe populist parties, and the implications of a substantial increase of xenophobe populist MEPs for the EU institutions. They were discussed during a lunch with Herman van Rompuy in April, and a dinner debate with the Polish Permanent Representation in May. The final pilot on challenging hate speech in the parliament was held in April and received support from MEPs. The project has informed ongoing OSF discussions on how to challenge hate speech in Europe. OSEPI commissioned a briefing paper on how to set-up and manage an effective intergroup in the European Parliament, with a view to encourage an effective Anti-Racism and Discrimination Intergroup.

3. SHARED FRAMEWORKS

Drones: Martin has held several exploratory meetings with EU officials and experts on drones. He participated in a




Goals and Strategies for 2014-2017

1. SUMMARY

OSEPI’s mission is to foster open societies by leveraging the EU’s policies, legislation, funding and political influence. The political and economic crisis in Europe is the biggest challenge that we face today, and also an opportunity to reach a new constituency beyond those who already share OSF’s values and concerns.

From Open Society Foundations OSIFE documents ... sample of many spreadsheets showing election interference in nations of the world.







Promoting a culture of death in Ireland and many nations


 THE DAILY SIGNAL

SEARCH CONNECT

The Troubling Relationship Between Soros and US' Biggest Foreign Aid Agency


Mike Gonzalez / @Gundisalvus / April 10, 2017 / comments



By Mike Gonzalez, Daily Signal, 2017

Open Society memo shows a few of many efforts to govern over nations, in this case, Poland



Document of Open Society Fdn., below, “Our Reliable Allies in the European Parliament.”
This internal document is a comprehensive list of EU committees and members who assist (or could be won over to) the open society agenda around the world.



Tories call for urgent investigation into £3million that George Soros funnelled into anti-Brexit campaign aimed at bringing down Boris Johnson

- Tories called for an urgent probe into George Soros's American organisation
- The MoS discovered it put money into a campaign trying to block Brexit
- His New York-based Open Society Foundation sent the money to the pro-EU Best for Britain group via a London outpost

By JAMES HEALE and GLEN OWENS FOR THE MAIL ON SUNDAY

PUBLISHED: 17:31 EST, 9 November 2019 | UPDATED: 19:09 EST, 9 November 2019

Nigel Farage launches 'counterblast' to George Soros with US Brexit group

by Rob Grilly | July 26, 2019 11:25 AM



ABOUT HUNGARY

NEWS IN BRIEF | BLOG | ISSUES | SPEECHES



SPEECHES AND REMARKS

PRIME MINISTER

NOV 25, 2020 - VIKTOR ORBÁN

PM Orbán: Europe must not succumb to the Soros network

The following text is PM Orbán's reply to the article by George Soros, published in Project Syndicate on November 18.

GEORGE SOROS


Many believe that the prime minister of a country should not enter into an argument with George Soros. Their reasoning is that Soros is an economic criminal, because he made his money through speculation, ruining the lives of millions of people, and even blackmailing entire national economies. Just as governments must not negotiate with terrorists, they say, prime ministers must likewise not debate with economic criminals.

Link: <http://abouthungary.hu/speeches-and-remarks/pm-orban-europe-must-not-succumb-to-the-soros-network/>

9) Summary & Recommendations –

An evolving inquiry into OSF-related projects to influence U.S. and global elections, technology and cultures via open borders, the “rights” of non-citizens over citizens to influence elections and policies, “rights” of “sex workers” (and the sex industry including the abuse of children), drug use, “rights” of those who threaten national security including terrorists, rights of prisoners to vote, and much more. The patterns seems to be one of demoralizing and weakening the U.S. and nations of the world to advance the concept of a “stateless world” or the “open society agenda.”

Skilled, fact-based researchers exist to “follow the money” and provide answers.



Link: <https://capitalresearch.org/article/dark-money/>

Other helpful resources include www.InfluenceWatch.org

And www.JustFacts.com

And www.JudicialWatch.org

Millions of Americans ask, why is one man, with his family and global network, allowed so much influence in America, and in other nations? Is the Soros network above the law and thus not investigated if breaking laws? Could experts explore moral, civic and legal violations such as interstate commerce to incite riots, funding of non-profits like Alliance for Justice (AfJ) related to Antifa, RICO laws, Patriot Act laws, biased and defamatory media and “fact-checkers,” foreign election collusion and more.

Why is the Soros network allowed to continue its harm to our people, heritage, families, rule of law and future? Who are government leaders who will stand up for truth and justice in America?

We urge your courageous leadership for truth and justice, while there is still time, and daylight.

END

Version 2.0, December 30, 2020

Volunteers gathering content of many concerned Americans, with credit to many contributors, and research-based journalists.